## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)
Plaintiff,	) ) 8:17CR25
vs.	)
JESSI L. JENSEN,	) ORDER
Defendant	)

This matter is before the court on the Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion to Continue Trial [40]. The plaintiff has received an expert opinion from defense counsel regarding a proposed witness and seeks additional time to obtain an expert to review materials in this matter. For good cause shown,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the unopposed Motion to Continue Trial [40] is granted as follows:

- 1. The jury trial, now set for July 11, 2017 is continued to August 8, 2017.
- 2. In accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), the court finds that the ends of justice will be served by granting this continuance and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Any additional time arising as a result of the granting of this motion, that is, the time between **today's date and August 8, 2017**, shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act. Failure to grant a continuance would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B)(iv).
- 4. A party may object to this order by filing an "Objection to Magistrate Judge's Order" no later than **June 29, 2017.** The objecting party must comply with all requirements of NECrimR 59.2.

**DATED June 22, 2017.** 

BY THE COURT:

s/ F.A. Gossett III United States Magistrate Judge